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#### Abstract

Single crystals of $\mathrm{AgInO}_{2}$ were obtained by hydrothermal reaction of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{In}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in NaOH at elevated temperature and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ pressure. The delafossite type crystal structure was refined using single crystal X-ray diffractometer data ( $R 3 m ; a_{\text {hex }}=327.68(7)$, $c_{\text {hex }}=1887.8(7) \mathrm{pm} ; Z=3 ; R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.022 ; 171$ independent structure factors). In-O and $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances are 217.4(4) and 207.6(8) pm, respectively. Structure and bond characteristics are discussed. © 1987 Academic Press, Inc.


## Introduction

The Ag-delafossites are promising candidates for further studies on previously postulated $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}-\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$bonding interactions (1). As the compounds all crystallize in the same (delafossite) structure, the possibility of varying the $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Ag}$ distances over a wide range ( $\sim 290-360 \mathrm{pm}$ ), without essentially changing other Ag-related parameters, arises. This would allow an almost matrixindependent evaluation of physical properties effected by the $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Ag}$ distance. In order to provide a quantitative basis for these investigations, accurate crystallographic data concerning bond lengths and angles are necessary.

Although a large number of Ag-delafossites have been prepared (2) only few have been studied structurally in detail $\left(\mathrm{AgAlO}_{2}\right.$ (3) and $\mathrm{AgFeO}_{2}$ (4)). Data on bond lengths etc. is therefore not available, with the aforementioned exceptions.

The present paper deals with the struc-
the adsorbed NaOH solution. Failure to find Na by photometric analysis set an upper limit of $0.05 \%$ for the concentration of this element in the product.

## Crystal Data and Structure Refinement

Rotation, Weissenberg, and precession photographs were taken in order to determine the crystal system and possible space groups, while unit cell parameters were refined from Guinier powder data ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}$, low quartz as internal standard): Rhombohedral, $R \overline{3} m, a_{\text {hex }}=327.68(7), c_{\text {hex }}=$

TABLE I
Powder Data of $\mathrm{AgInO}_{2}$
$\left.\begin{array}{lcrlll}\hline h k l & d_{0}(\mathrm{pm}) & I_{v} & h k l & d_{v}(\mathrm{pm}) & I_{\mathrm{o}} \\ \hline 0003 & 629.59 & 1 & 1116 & 145.36 & 9 \\ 006 & 314.81 & 8 & 202 & 140.29 & 5 \\ 012 & 271.81 & 8 & 024 & 135.88 & 5 \\ 104 & 243.33 & 7 & 0114 \\ 018 & 181.52 & 5 & 208\end{array}\right\}$

TABLE II
Observed and Calculated Structure Factors of R-AgInO ${ }_{2}$


| 20 | 1701 | 1730 | 0 | 0 | 1096 | 1073 | -2 | 0 | 917 | 909 | -1 | 50 | 618 | 618 |  | 1 |  | -194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 3 | 53 | 52 | -4 | 41 | 117 | 117 | -1 | 4 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 51 | 149 | 136 | 0 | 2 | 1709 | 2057 |
| -2 22 | 1436 | 1436 | -1 | 32 | 1150 | 1131 | -3 | 42 | 798 | 808 | 0 | 2 | 692 | 705 |  | 52 | 503 | 494 |
| -2 512 | 613 | 621 |  | 3 | 280 | 268 | -1 | 23 | 224 | 223 | -3 | 33 | 205 | 208 |  | 33 | 203 | 208 |
| -2 43 | 206 | 206 | -4 | 53 | 206 | 204 | -1 | 3 | 208 | 204 | -1 | 14 | 1468 | 1687 |  | 24 | 1258 | 1247 |
| -2 34 | 1010 | 998 | -4 | 4 | 613 | 629 | -1 | 4 | 710 | 720 | -3 | 54 | 545 | 552 | 0 | 54 | 442 | 438 |
| 015 | 368 | 64 | -2 | 25 | 283 | 291 | -1 | 35 | 257 | 262 | -3 | 45 | 235 | 240 | 0 | 45 | 231 | 235 |
| -5 5 5 | 227 | 221 | -2 | 55 | 231 | 231 | 00 | 06 | 1549 | 1852 | -1 | 6 | 1312 | 1328 | -3 | 36 | 911 | 899 |
| 03 | 913 | 899 | -2 | 6 | 764 | 760 | -4 | 56 | 519 | 24 | -1 | 56 | 522 | 524 |  | 27 | 10 |  |
| -237 | 124 | 122 | 4 | 47 | 153 | 155 | -1 | 7 | 145 | 147 | -3 | 57 | 162 | 59 | 0 | 57 | 169 | 162 |
| 01 | 1611 | 1738 | -2 | 28 | 1346 | 1330 | -1 | 38 | 1097 | 1075 | -3 | 48 | 790 | 794 | 0 | 48 | 696 | 700 |
| -2 58 | 616 | 617 | 0 | 09 | 288 | -257 | -12 | 29 | 73 | -77 | -3 | 39 | 43 | 40 |  | 39 | 63 | 40 |
| 249 | 78 | 66 | 4 | 59 | 116 | 106 | -1 | 59 | 117 | 106 | -1 | 110 | 1546 | 1593 | 0 | 210 | 1284 | 1257 |
| -2 310 | 1055 | 1033 |  | 410 | 678 | 684 | -1 | 410 | 772 | 773 | -3 | 510 | 603 | 605 | -2 | 2 | 65 | 61 |
| -1311 | 92 | 91 | -3 | 411 | 129 | 124 |  | 411 | 142 | 33 | -2 | 511 | 141 | 140 | 0 |  | 1514 | 1417 |
| -1212 | 1166 | 1131 | -3 | 312 | 813 | 806 |  | 312 | 818 | 806 | -2 | 412 | 699 | 699 | -4 | 12 | 492 | 87 |
| -1 512 | 496 | 487 | -1 | 113 | 197 | 204 |  | 213 | 191 | 197 | -2 | 313 | 189 | 196 | -4 | 413 | 203 | 201 |
| 1413 | 201 | 200 | -3 | 513 | 203 | 200 | 0 | 114 | 1182 | 1137 | -2 | 214 | 961 | 945 | -1 | 314 | 809 | 03 |
| -3 414 | 598 | 610 |  | 414 | 532 | 540 | -2 | 514 | 476 | 478 | 0 | 015 | 107 | 119 |  | 15 | 136 | 仡 |
| -3 315 | 158 | 156 | 0 | 315 | 156 | 156 | -2 | 415 | 165 | 64 | -4 | 515 | 18. | 173 | -1 | 15 | 182 | 173 |
| 1116 | 1200 | 1148 | 0 | 216 | 988 | 962 | -2 | 316 | 836 | 830 | -4 | 416 | 564 | 569 | -1 | 16 | 632 | 63 |
| $-3516$ | 508 | 506 |  | 117 | 66 | -67 | -1 | 317 | 39 | 25 | -3 | 417 | 81 | 69 | 0 | 417 | 78 | 84 |
| -2 517 | 116 | 94 | 0 | 018 | 1346 | 1212 | -12 | 218 | 1051 | 1017 | -3 | 318 | 778 | 769 | 0 | 18 | 780 | 770 |
| 2418 | 686 | 84 |  | 119 | 75 | -87 | -4 | 419 | 74 | 66 |  | 419 | 75 | 51 | 0 | 20 | 1017 | 999 |
| -2 220 | 869 | 857 |  | 320 | 745 | 751 | -3 | 420 | 585 | 589 | 0 | 420 | 530 | 524 | -1 | 221 | 76 | 60 |
| -3 321 | 117 | 102 | 0 | 321 | 104 | 102 | -2 | 421 | 127 | 115 | -1 | 122 | 838 | 839 | 0 | 222 | 723 | 725 |
| -2 322 | 625 | 635 | -1 | 422 | 494 | 497 |  | 123 | 77 | 88 | -2 | 223 | 110 | 108 | -1 | 23 | 129 | 24 |
| -3 423 | 148 | 145 | 0 | 024 | 872 | 834 | -1 | 224 | 718 | 722 | -3 | 324 | 558 | 560 | - | 324 | 550 | 560 |
| -2 424 | 505 | 496 | -2 | 325 | 57 | 44 | 0 | 126 | 798 | 816 | -2 | 226 | 695 | 717 | -1 | 326 | 624 | 635 |
| 0027 | 103 | -117 | -1 | 128 | 748 | 772 | 2 | 228 | 660 | 682 | -2 | 328 | 598 | 607 | 0 | 30 | 689 |  |
| 1230 | 587 | 597 | 0 | 231 | 75 | 52 | 0 | 132 | 538 | 559 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III
Positional Coordinates and Anisotropic Temperature Factors of $\mathrm{AgInO}_{2}$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | U11 | U33 | U(equ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ag | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $0.0171(3)$ | $0.0050(6)$ |
| In | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 0.0102 |  |
| O | 0 | 0 | $0.1100(4)$ | $0.0085(3)$ | $0.0081(5)$ | 0.0058 |

Note. Thermal parameters are of the form: $T=$ $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(\mathrm{U} 11 a^{*} h^{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{U} 23 b^{*} c^{*} k l+\cdots\right)\right] \AA^{2}$.
$1887.8(7) \mathrm{pm}, D_{\text {calc }}=7.23 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, Z=3$. Powder data are given in Table I. The intensities of 2832 reflections were measured ( $2 \theta$ $=3-80^{\circ}$ ) using an automated diffractometer (Siemens-Stoe AED 2) and graphite monochromated MoK radiation (scan width $\left.=2.4+\left(\left(\lambda_{\alpha 2}-\lambda_{\alpha 1}\right) / \lambda \bar{\alpha}\right) \cdot \tan \theta\right)$. After averaging, applying absorption and LP-correction $(\mu(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=165.73 \mathrm{~cm}$, min. and max. transmission coefficients of 0.3411 and 0.6133 , respectively), 171 independent structure factors, which are given in Table II, remained for refinement. Final $R$ values of $R=0.033$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.022$, with weights derived from counting statistics, were obtained. The atom parameters are given in Table III.

## Results and Discussion

$\mathrm{AgInO}_{2}$ is isostructural with $\mathrm{AgFeO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CuFeO}_{2}$ (4), $\mathrm{CuAlO}_{2}$ (5), $\mathrm{CuGaO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CuYO}_{2}$ (6), and has the delafossite structure. Oxygen atoms are stacked in the layer sequence ( AABBCC ) with Ag in linear coordination (AA, BB, and CC), and In in the octahedral sites ( $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{BC}$, and CA ). Bond distances and angles for $\mathrm{AgInO}_{2}$ are given in Table IV. The accurate crystallographic data of Ag -delafossites studied to date is compiled in Table V. The change in the ratio $\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{II}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$, which is a sensitive measure of the deformation (flat-
tening along the $c$ axis) of the $M \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra, is insignificant (within the e.s.d's.) in going from Al (1.182) to In (1.190), whereas a significant increase is observed in the corresponding Cu -containing delafossites ( Al (1.162), $\mathrm{Y}(1.287)$ ) (6). In spite of the near constancy of the deformation of the $M \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra, the increase in $\mathrm{In}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length as compared to that of the Al-O bond results in a $13 \%$ increase in the a unit cell dimension and a mere $3 \%$ in the $c$ unit cell dimension. This is partially due to the fact that roughly $2 / 3$ of the $c$ unit cell parameter is determined by $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, whereas these do not contribute to the $a$ unit cell dimension. Furthermore, the increase in the dimensions of the $M \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra in the direction of the $c$ axis is partially compensated by the decrease in $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length in going from Al to In . Considering the Ag-O bond lengths, a significant increase with decreasing $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Ag}$ distance is observed, leading to an apparent decrease in the valence sum of Ag by about $10 \%$ (7). This increase in Ag-O bond length could be a compensation for bonding interaction between adjacent Ag atoms in the same layer ( $1,6,8$ ). The large value of the temperature factor U11 of all Ag atoms, and the highly anisotropic nature thereof, as demonstrated by the ratio U11/U33, could be further supporting evidence.

The nonconformity of $\mathrm{AgFeO}_{2}$ could possibly be due to a partial transfer of charge between Fe and Ag .

TABLE IV
Bond Distances (pm) and Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d's in Parentheses

| $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ | $207.6(8)$ | $(2 \times)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{In}-\mathrm{O}^{(1)}$ | 180 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{In}-\mathrm{O}$ | $217.4(4)$ | $(6 \times)$ | O-In-O |  |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}^{(1)}$ | 180 |  | O-In-O | $82.2(2)$ |

Note. Symmetry code (I) $-x,-y,-z$; (II) $-x, x$, $-z$; (III) $-y,-x, z$.

TABLE V
Crystallographic and Bond Data of Ag-Delafossites

|  | $2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AgAlO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{AgFeO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{AgInO}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference | (3) | (4) | This work |
| $c$ (pm) | 1221.9(7) | 1859.0(2) | 1887.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Ag}$ (pm) | 289.6(1) | 303.91(2) | 327.68(7) |
| $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ (pm) | 210.1(5) | 206.7(8) | 207.6(8) |
| $M-\mathrm{O}$ (pm) | 192.5(3) | 203.5(4) | 217.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {(II) }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 97.5(3) | 96.6 | 97.8(2) |
| $\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {(III) }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {(II) }}\right)$ | 1.182 | 1.158 | 1.190 |
| U11 (Ag) | 0.061(3) | - | $0.171(3)$ |
| U11/U33 (Ag) | 2.35 | - | 3.42 |
| Valence of one $M-O$ bond (5) | 0.477 | 0.460 | $(0.482)^{a}$ |
| Valence of one $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O}$ bond (5) | 0.567 | 0.640 | 0.620 |
| Total valence on $\mathrm{O}\left(1 \times(\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O})+3 \times\left(\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}\right)\right.$ ) | ) 1.999 | 2.020 | $(2.067)^{a}$ |
| Total valence on $M\left(6 \times\left(M^{3+}-\mathrm{O}\right)\right.$ | 2.864 | 2.761 | $(2.895)^{a}$ |
| Total valence on $\mathrm{Ag}(2 \times(\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{O})$ | 1.134 | 1.280 | 1.239 |

[^0]
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